IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST NOVEMBER 2007 / 30TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 33641 of 2007(E)

PETITIONER:

SASI J.ALEX,
50/1566, MATTACKAL PUTHUKKERIL, K.P.VALLON ROAD,
KADAVANTHRA, ERNAKULAM, COCHIN-20.

BY ADV. SRI.GEORGE THOMAS (MEVADA)
SMT.VEENA EBBY

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. COMMISSIONER FOR ENTRANCE EXAMINATIONS,
5TH FLOOR, HOUSING BOARD BUILDING, SANTHI NAGAR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-1.

2. THE PRINCIPAL,
GOVERNMENT LAW COLLEGE, ERNAKULAM, COCHIN-11.

3. THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION, GOVT. SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.I.V. PRAMOD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 21/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J

W.P.(C). 33641/2007

Dated this the 21st day of November, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner who was the second rank holder in the LLB entrance examination, complains that he was not granted admission despite getting high rank in the entrance examination. On instruction, the learned Government Pleader, submits that in terms of the prospectus no individual memos are to be sent to the candidates regarding their admission. According to the leaned Government Pleader, rank obtained by the petitioner was published in the website. However petitioner did not report for admission.

2. In the matter of admission, the procedure to be followed is to be governed by the prospectus and in terms of the prospectus petitioner could not expect to be issued an individual memo. Petitioner has no case that necessary information was not given in the website. By publishing the information in the website, respondent has complied with the terms of the prospectus.

3. If that be so, respondent has discharged their obligation and as the petitioner did not respond to the same, the petitioner cannot blame the respondents for not getting the admission. Writ petition fails and the same is dismissed.

ANTONY DOMINIC
Judge

mrcs